

CAPP-Compliant Security Event Audit System for Mac OS X and FreeBSD



Robert N. M. Watson

Security Research
Computer Laboratory
University of Cambridge

February 21, 2006

Introduction

- Background
- Common Criteria, CAPP, evaluation
- What is security event audit?
- Audit design and implementation considerations
- Differences between UNIX and Mac OS X
- FreeBSD port
- OpenBSM

Organizations

- Apple Computer, Inc.
 - Tight hardware/software integration, single vendor
 - Ease of use, high performance
 - Historical focus on education, design, etc.
- McAfee Research, McAfee, Inc.,
 - Computer security research and engineering
 - Primarily DoD customers, but some commercial
- SAIC
 - Many things, but among them, evaluation lab

Trusted Operating Systems

- Notions originated in security research and development during 1950's – 1970's
 - Trustworthy and security systems for US military
 - Later, scope expands
- Two focuses
 - Specific security feature sets
 - Assurance
- 1980's–1990's “Orange book”
- 1990's–2000's NIAP and Common Criteria (CC)

Role of Evaluations

- Security evaluations controversial
 - Does the evaluation address real security needs?
 - Is the goal more paper or a better product?
 - Do we know more after an evaluation?
- Security evaluations are, however, a reality
 - Cannot sell to US DoD without evaluation
 - Inclusion of many necessary security features has been driven by evaluation requirements

Common Criteria

- ISO standard and model for security evaluation
 - CC defines vocabulary and processes
 - Protection Profiles define functional requirements
 - Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) defines assurance target
- Two widely used protection profiles for operating systems
 - CAPP, LSPP
 - Other protection profiles for other sorts of products

NCSC Orange Book-Derived Protection Profiles

<p>Common Access Protection Profile (CAPP)</p>	<p>Derived from Orange Book C2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Multiple authenticated usersSeparation of administrative roleDiscretionary access controlSecurity event auditingMinimal coverage of network concepts
<p>Labelled Security Protection Profile (LSPP)</p>	<p>Derived from Orange Book B1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">CAPP + Mandatory Access Control (MAC)Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)Multi-Level Security (MLS)Enhanced security event auditingTypically shipped with labelled networking

Assurance

- Assurance arguments critical to evaluation
 - Documentation of goals
 - Documentation of assumptions
 - Documentation of system design
 - Argument system implementation matches design
 - Documentation of process
- Assurance is measured in paper
 - For lower EAL, measurements < 1 yardmetre
 - For higher EAL, measurements > 1 yardmetre

Common Criteria Evaluation

- Four easy steps
 - 1 Select a protection profile, assurance level
 - 2 Write a security target, evaluation evidence
 - 3 Write a very large cheque
 - 4 Work with evaluation lab through testing cycle
- Shortcuts
 - Evaluate to a cut down protection profile (PR)
 - Contract evaluation lab to write your evidence

UNIX and CAPP

- Most commercial UNIX systems meet CAPP requirements with minor configuration tweaks
- Three common extensions required:
 - Enhanced discretionary access control – ACLs
 - Security event audit
 - Authentication and password policy enforcement
- Of these, audit is the most difficult (expensive) to add to a UNIX system

What is Security Event Audit?

- Log of security-relevant events
 - Secure
 - Reliable
 - Fine-grained
 - Configurable
- A variety of uses including
 - Post-mortem analysis
 - Intrusion detection
 - Live system monitoring, debugging

Common Criteria and Audit

- CAPP defines functional requirements
 - Audit will provide comprehensive logging of security events defined in CAPP and security target
 - Reliability and robustness requirements key
- LSPP extends audit to include MAC labelling and decision information

CAPP Requirements (excerpt)

CAPP Requirements Table

CAPP Category	Requirement	Description
5.1.1.1	FAU_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation	The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the auditable events listed in column "Event" of Table 1 (Auditable Events). This includes all auditable events for the basic level of audit, except FIA_UID.1's user identity during failures.
5.1.1.2	FAU_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation	The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information: (a) Data and time of the event, type of the event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; (b) additional information specified in Table 1.
5.1.2.1	FAU_GEN.2 User Identity Association	The TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.
5.1.3.1	FAU_SAR.1 Audit Review	The TSF shall provide authorized administrators with the capability to read all audit information from the audit records.
5.1.3.2	FAU_SAR.1 Audit Review	The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.
5.1.4.1	FAU_SAR.2 Restricted Audit Review	The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the audit records, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.

Audit Basics

- Audit records describe individual events
 - Attributable (to an authenticated user)
 - Non-attributable (no authenticated user)
 - Selected (configured to be audited)
- Most audit events fall into three classes
 - Access control
 - Authentication
 - Security management
- Audit log files are called “trails”

Audit Log Security

- Audit must be non-bypassable
- Right to add records to trail must be controlled
- Setting and viewing the audit configuration must be controlled
- Audit review must be controlled, assignable
- UNIX syslog has none of these properties!

Audit Reliability

- Reliability is key to audit implementation
 - If an event is auditable, selected, and occurs, then **it must be audited**
 - If an event is auditable, selected, but cannot be audited, it **must not occur**
- Ability to fail-stop system for predictable loss
- Upper bound on loss in the event of unexpected failure (i.e., power loss)
- UNIX syslog can't do this either

Mapping CAPP Audit into UNIX

- CAPP does not impose a specific OS structure
 - Does require a Trusted Code Base (TCB)
- UNIX structure is layered
 - Operating system kernel (TCB)
 - Operating system user space (TCB)
 - Other operating system user space (user)
- All audit events sourced in TCB
 - Authentication events mostly user space
 - Access control events mostly kernel space

Auditable Events in UNIX

- Access control
 - System calls checking for super user privilege
 - System calls with file system access control checks
 - Including path name lookup!
 - Login access control decisions
- Authentication, Account Management
 - Password changes, successful authentication, failed authentication, user administration
- Audit related events

Mapping CAPP Audit into UNIX

- Typical design choices
 - Audit event stream managed by kernel
 - Most records generated by system calls
 - Other records submitted by system applications using system call; privilege required
 - UNIX DAC permissions protect audit log
 - Helper daemon manages audit configuration, possibly writes audit stream
 - Process state extended with pre-selection masks and audit user ID

Audit and Mac OS X

- Mac OS X is based on a UNIX kernel
 - Most UNIX audit design choices apply
 - Kernel also offers Mach IPC
- Mac OS X user space relies on extensive IPC
 - UNIX processes cross boundaries with setuid
 - Mac OS X uses IPC to privileged daemons
- Extend Mach message trailers with audit fields
 - Allows privileged daemons to attribute audit events to current subject

Audit and Mac OS X (cont)

- Mac OS X process tree not traditional UNIX
 - UNIX process tree descends from single parent
 - In Mac OS X, user applications launched by a single privileged process (window server)
- Modification to approach that assumes all audit properties can be set at login and then inherited
 - Application launch services had to learn about audit


Modifications to Mac OS X Kernel

- System call entry pre-selects, allocates record
- System call arguments, return values
- System call exit commits record
- Audit record queue implementation
- Audit event trigger mechanism
- Conversion from internal record to BSM
- Audit system calls
- Mach message trailer audit fields

Modifications to Mac OS X User Space

- Audit library
- Audit trail viewer, reduction tool
- `/etc/security` audit configuration / databases
- Audit daemon to manage trails, triggers
- Set audit context at user login
- Application launch support for audit
- Audit in management tools, daemons


Sample Audit Control Flow



BSM APIs and File Formats

- Sun's Basic Security Module (BSM) de facto industry standard
 - File formats
 - Token-oriented audit trail format (almost TLV)
 - Audit configuration and databases
 - APIs
 - Construct, parse, process audit record streams
 - Manage audit state, pre-selection model
- Compatibility with many existing libraries and tools for free

BSM Audit Record Format




```
header,129,1,AUE_OPEN_R,0,Tue Feb 21 00:12:23 2006,
+ 253 msec
argument,2,0,flags
path,/lib/libc.so.6
attribute,444,root,wheel,16842497,11663267,46706288
subject,-1,root,wheel,root,wheel,319,0,0,0.0.0.0
return,success,6
trailer,129
```

```
header,108,1,AUE_CLOSE,0,Tue Feb 21 00:12:23 2006, +
255 msec
argument,2,0x6,fd
attribute,444,root,wheel,16842497,11663267,46706288
subject,-1,root,wheel,root,wheel,319,0,0,0.0.0.0
return,success,0
trailer,108
```

Thinking About Audit Reliability

- Correspondence between auditable events and audit records tricky
 - Audit record production is a queue split over several system components
 - Must bound end-to-end queue size based on available storage resources
 - Must bound end-to-end queue size based on maximum permissible loss
- "Fail-stop" must commit remaining records gracefully before stopping

Audit Queuing



Audit Selection

- Potential for audit record volume huge
 - Terabytes/hour on busy, fully audited system
- Two key points for audit record selection
 - Audit pre-selection to limit audit records created
 - Audit post-selection, or reduction, to eliminate undesired records after creation
- Mac OS X and FreeBSD support both models
 - Administrator can apply filters to users at login time
 - Administrator can use tools to reduce trails later

Audit Configuration: Pre-Selection

- Over 350 event types
 - Most of them meaningless individually
- Each event assigned to one or more classes
- Class masks assigned to users

```
0:AUE_NULL:indir system call:no
1:AUE_EXIT:exit(2):pc
2:AUE_FORK:fork(2):pc
3:AUE_OPEN:open(2) - attr only:fa
4:AUE_CREAT:creat(2):fc
5:AUE_LINK:link(2):fc
6:AUE_UNLINK:unlink(2):fd
7:AUE_EXEC:exec(2):pc,ex
8:AUE_CHDIR:chdir(2):pc
...
```

```
0x00000000:no:invalid class
0x00000001:fr:file read
0x00000002:fw:file write
0x00000004:fa:file attribute access
0x00000008:fm:file attribute modify
0x00000010:fc:file create
0x00000020:fd:file delete
0x00000040:cl:file close
0x00000080:pc:process
0x00000100:nt:network
...
```

```
root:lo:no
audit:lo:no
test:all:no
www:fr,nt,ip:no
...
```

FreeBSD Port


- FreeBSD Operating System
 - BSD-licensed 4.4BSDlite2 derivative OS
 - Widely used in high-end embedded, networking, ISP, server spaces.
 - One of the source code bases for Mac OS X
- More classic UNIX operating system
- Common code base makes it an easy target
- Currently present in FreeBSD 7.x development tree, will be merged for a future 6.x release

Changes to Apply to FreeBSD

- Endian-independent implementation
 - Now important on Mac OS X also
- Discard Mac OS X mach trailer support
- Add 64-bit token support
 - Also now important on Mac OS X
- Significant clean-up, debugging, documentation
- Largely different user space integration
- Introduce audit pipes

Audit Pipes

- Historically, audit for post-mortem analysis
- Today, for intrusion detection / monitoring
- Audit pipes provide live record feed
 - Lossy queue
 - Discrete audit records
 - Independent streams



OpenBSM

- BSD-licensed BSM library, tools, docs
- Portable across many platforms
- Implements Sun BSM with some extensions
- Foundation for FreeBSD, future Mac OS X use
- <http://www.OpenBSM.org/>



Conclusion

- Security event auditing is critical to successful security evaluation
 - Some argue audit is a critical security feature
- Complex reliability requirements
- Complex security requirements
- Open source common to FreeBSD, Mac OS X
 - <http://www.TrustedBSD.org/>
- API/file format compatibility with Solaris